**From:** Your Name

Town XXXXX

To: Minister for Local Government Oath-Man/Woman of the King that is of the Succession of the Crown in the Protestant Line holding the seal of the Constitution Act 1900 UK.

**Notice to Produce – Onus of Proof.**

**Notice of Withdrawal of all Implied Consent**

**Notice To Principle is Notice to Agent**

**Notice To Agent is Notice to Principal**

To Minister Name  
ADDRESS   
STATE POSTCODE  
Date:

YOU ARE NOTICED: MAXIM OF LAW - **He who is silent appears to consent. One that stays silent when asked for consent, means that he/she is consenting otherwise he/she should have retaliated, and,**

FURTHERMORE**: With-in the universal maxim of law ‘notice to agent is notice to principal and notice to principal is notice to agent’, all addressed parties jointly and severally as well as their successors, nominees and assigns.**

All words in this document shall remain as the sender intends and the meaning as the sender intends and to refer to the sender for the meaning of each word.

1. Hereafter: *You*, *Your, Yourself, The corporation, Firm,* ***MP****, Member of Parliament* shall mean: / Minister For Local Government in the State of xxxxxxxxxx, and;
2. Regardless of personal belief in the rule of law, and individual liberty, and;

Liberty is found under the law, God’s law, because as the Bible says, ‘the law of the Lord is perfect, reviving the soul’ ([Psa 19:7](https://biblia.com/bible/esv/Ps%2019.7" \t "_blank)). If so, people have the moral duty to disobey a human law that perverts God’s law, for the purpose of civil government is to establish all societies in a godly order of freedom and justice, peace and harmony, Inalienable Rights of all and;

1. Fraud cannot be permitted on the basis of Moral Standard and Intellectual Periphery and;
2. There is no statute of limitations on the act of fraud and;
3. Fraud vitiates every act and;
4. This is a Demand for absolute lawful response of Onus of Proof and legitimacy of office under the supreme law of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act Chapter 12 as Proclaimed and Gazetted (9th July 1900), not a response by the colour of Law and to inform you and the parliament Corporation of the complete withdrawal of any and all implied consent from the sender you may mistakenly rely on and;
5. For clarity, this is not a standard letter, it contains demands & estoppels, your response may also be used in a public notice, be placed on social media and in a court of law and in a possible claim against your personal commercial liability and;
6. **Produce proof that you have lawful authority,** The Sender now claims under Common law, **ONUS OF PROOF**, we have the right to request proof of authority at any time without anyone's approval. And that, under Common Laws of England process known as Notice Quo Warranto, we are entitled to request proof of authority from anyone claiming it over us at any time - without any leave/permission of any purported "court"- and, as per ***page 299 (chapter 8)*** of *"The Description of the Common Laws of England",* by *Henry Finch, of Gray's Inn*, their failure to satisfy the Notice within 40 days, means that they forfeited their right forever to claim that authority again- either in this case or ANY other future cases. Produce the at law evidence that the office you are holding is lawful under the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 (UK) that was brought into Commonwealth of Australia Constitutional Law commencing 1st January 1901 Proclaimed and Gazetted in the first Commonwealth parliament in Melbourne to become and still is the Founding and Primary law for all now named States and Governments, Courts, Police and People, over and above anything in previous State or Colony laws, produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law and;
7. The Sender submits, **Quick and Garran – page 795, point 1 – Onus of Proof**: There is no presumption in favour of the existence of a power; on the contrary; the burden of proof lies on those who assert its existence, to point out something in the Constitution which, either expressly or by necessary implication, confers it; And that the search for the power will be conducted in a spirit of **“strict exactitude”**, and if there be found in the Constitution nothing which directly or impliedly conveys it, then whatever the executive or legislature of the National government, or both of them together, may have done in the persuasion of its existence, must be deemed null and void, like the act of any other unauthorized agent, produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law and;
8. John Locke (1634–1704), whose legal and political ideas provided legal justification to the 1688 ‘Glorious Revolution’ in Britain, argued that lawmakers put themselves into a ‘state of war’ against the society whenever they endeavour to destroy our God-given ‘natural’ rights to life, liberty and property and
9. The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, whether Federal or State though having the form and name of Law it is best described as **legal contemplation and is inoperative (NOT law at all)** as it has never been enacted or passed therefore is VOID ab initio. Reference to Black’s Law Dictionary the term legal is defined as the undoing of GODS LAW also, and;
10. **The sender** now demands a copy of the actual Oath/Affirmation of office that you yourself NAME swore or affirmed and signed in accordance with the Schedule that is within the above-mentioned Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia, Section42. Every senator and every member of the House of Representatives shall before taking his seat make and subscribe before the Governor-General, or some person authorised by him, an oath or affirmation of allegiance in the form set forth in the schedule to this Constitution and is the same at the State level according to sec 109, meaning the Oath/ Affirmation to the lawful Monarch of the Commonwealth of Australia Not the Fictional Queen Of Australia as created by Political parties on the 11th July 1974 as per Gazette No 57 Given under my hand and the Great Seal of Australia by His Excellency’s Command E.G. WHITLAM Prime Minister , produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law and;
11. Minister Name, Failure on your part to be able to produce such a document will be your admission that you have no lawful authority to sit in the Parliament, therefore you may be impersonating a Government Official and participating in “Treason”, produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law and;
12. **CHAPTER 12.**  
    An Act to constitute the Commonwealth of Australia. [9th July 1900]  
    WHEREAS the people of New South Wales, Victoria, South Australia, Queensland, and Tasmania, humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God, have agreed to unite in one indissoluble Federal Commonwealth under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, and under the Constitution hereby established: **(and no other),** produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law and;
13. The sender now demands the referendum results that demonstrates that at any time in the history of Australia the people agreed to the introduction of the Australia Act introduced 1986 violating the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution commencing 1st January 1901, any act to overthrow the Monarch is an act of “Treason” both State and Federally and ongoing enforcement by political parties and every Court in Australia to this day will be “Treason”, produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law and;
14. Minister Name, are you and your department responsible for all lawful/unlawful behaviour, contracts, and declarations of the individual Local Governments and those employed by the Local Government within this State? and;
15. Do you have direct oversight on their enterprise and behaviour? and;
16. Do you have direct oversight on the business dealings of all aspects of the Local Government Association in this State? and;
17. The sender now refers to SUPREME COURT SOUTH AUSTRALIA  
    BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE LIVESEY  
    NO.SCCIV-18-1361  
    COMMONWEALTH BANK OF AUSTRALIA - PLAINTIFF  
    V  
    PETER SCOTT HAUGHTON – DEFENDANT and;
18. ALL ACTS AFTER THE AUSTRALIA ACT 1986 ARE ONLY  
    **PURPORTED** ACTS WITH PURPORTED ENACTMENTS.  
    THEREFORE ALL LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTS ARE VOID. The word Purported has the meaning of **to act as if something is true when it is not,** and;
19. Minister Name please produce the State Legislation that your department relies on that overturns the following High Court of Australia rulings:
20. **Pirie v Registrar-General [1962] HCA 58 (1962) 109 CLR 619 (30 November 1962) Pirie v the Registrar-General** is vitally important because the High Court clearly stated that any and all attachments to a Title Deed registered with the Lands Department are the province of the owner of that title to attach and/or remove. The Registrar-General must obey the owner in his/her desires regarding his/her ownership of the title deed and;
21. Fejo v Northern  
    Territory [1998] HCA 58; 195 CLR 96; 156 ALR 721; 72 ALJR 1442 (10 September 1998) and;
22. Commonwealth v New South Wales [1923] HCA 34 (1923) 33 CLR 1  
    (9 August 1923)  
    HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA  
    KNOX C.J., ISAACS, HIGGINS, GAVAN DUFFY AND STARKE JJ.  
    THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA PLAINTIFF  
    AGAINST  
    THE STATE OF NEW SOUTH WALES AND ANOTHER DEFENDANTS  
    1920-1923: SYDNEY, Dec. 1-3, 1920; Mar. 21-29, 1922; Aug. 9, 1923 33 CLR 1 It is obviously right, therefore, to say that under sec. 85 (I.) the Commonwealth holds the land for an estate of fee simple in possession, that having no reference to any tenure under the State. The title transferred by sec. 85 is taken from the State, as I have already said, adversely to State law and by a law superior, and by that superior law is vested in the Commonwealth; and, as that superior law is the sole source of title, it follows that nothing henceforth can depend on State registration laws or State laws of any kind. But as the land—not being in Commonwealth “territory” properly so called, that is, outside a State—remains in the State boundaries, it was necessary to provide that the governmental powers of the Commonwealth exclusive in themselves—should, for the purpose for which the land was transferred, be entirely free from State jurisdiction. To this end sec. 52 (I.) was shaped in the form in which it exists. It enacts that the Commonwealth Parliament shall have exclusive power to legislate for— “the seat of government of the Commonwealth, and all places acquired by the  
    Commonwealth for public purposes, produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law and;
23. Failure to demonstrate that the State Government has the lawful capacity to override the above High Court of Australia rulings, you as minister in charge of Local Government (so Called) have enabled and given process to Fraud potentially. The sending of Rate Notice (NOT INVOICE or True Bill) demanding State Taxation payment without lawful authority with a threat of penalty could fall under Extortion for which you are ultimately responsible, produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law and;
24. **Please be aware that failure to act upon this LAWFUL NOTICE** may causecomplete devastation for you in several ways, financial, professional and personal, under Imperial Law it is the lawful duty of every Commonwealth sovereign men/women and anyone else whilst residing within these shores, or within or without the realm of the Commonwealth, to report and act to stop “Treasonable and Seditious Practices” that contravene or act against our Commonwealth of Australia Constitution 1901 derived from the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 (UK)   
    Also be aware that the penalty for committing 'Misprision of Treason' in this day is life imprisonment and total asset stripping, and that my sole intention of informing you of this fact in law is one of duty and not malice, frivolity, vexation nor ill will. and;
25. Minister Name, you have now been given new knowledge, you are now required to take various steps in response, respond to each demand with actual 1901 Commonwealth of Australia Constitutional lawful answers within 14 days of receiving this Notice, give serious contemplation to your standing should Sedition and or “Treason” investigations take place, act in a way that is Honourable and would right any and all wrongs being perpetrated against the men and women of this State, and;
26. Failure On your behalf to overcome the abovementioned demands will be your public acknowledgment that your office is that of a department within the Corporation Of Australia to which the men and women of the land have no influence no actual representation and the Corporation has no connection to the Constitution of The Australian Commonwealth 1901 as Proclaimed and Gazetted, your failure to produce your lawful Oath/Affirmation of Office will be taken, understood agreed to be your admitting that you do not sit in a lawful government office as the common man/woman would understand and indeed you are impersonating a Government Official.

**No Crown No Oath No Authority**

All Rights Reserved

Without ill will, vexation or frivolity

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Autograph of Sender.

Hand write date.